

## Codebook

[DataSet12] C:\Users\ckafura\Desktop\Data\2015public.sav

### PPAGE

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	3
	Label	PPAGE. Age - profile and Recruitment
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	46.97
	Standard Deviation	17.448
	Percentile 25	32.00
	Percentile 50	47.00
	Percentile 75	60.00

**PPEDUC**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	4		
	Label	PPEDUC. Education (highest degree received)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	No formal education	8	.4%
	2	1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	6	.3%
	3	5th or 6th grade	4	.2%
	4	7th or 8th grade	31	1.5%
	5	9th grade	20	1.0%
	6	10th grade	33	1.6%
	7	11th grade	44	2.2%
	8	12th grade NO DIPLOMA	106	5.2%
	9	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED)	603	29.6%
	10	Some college, no degree	401	19.7%
	11	Associate degree	184	9.0%
	12	Bachelor's degree	346	17.0%
	13	Master's degree	178	8.8%
	14	Professional or Doctorate degree	71	3.5%

**PPEDUCAT**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	5		
	Label	PPEDUCAT. Education (Categorical)		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Less than high school	252	12.4%
	2	High school	603	29.6%
	3	Some college	584	28.7%
	4	Bachelors degree or higher	595	29.2%

**PPETHM**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	6		
	Label	PPETHM. Race/Ethnicity , Census categories		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	White, Non- Hispanic	1332	65.5%
	2	Black, Non- Hispanic	235	11.5%
	3	Other, Non- Hispanic	132	6.5%
	4	Hispanic	309	15.2%
	5	2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	26	1.3%

**PPGENDER**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	7		
	Label	PPGENDER. Gender		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Male	981	48.2%
	2	Female	1053	51.8%

**PPHHHEAD**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	8
	Label	PPHHHEAD. Household Head
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.75
	Standard Deviation	.430
	Percentile 25	1.00
	Percentile 50	1.00
	Percentile 75	1.00

**PPHHSIZE**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	9
	Label	PPHHSIZE. Household Size
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.75
	Standard Deviation	1.474
	Percentile 25	2.00
	Percentile 50	2.00
	Percentile 75	4.00

**PPHOUSE**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	10		
	Label	PPHOUSE. Housing type		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	A one-family house detached from any other house	1426	70.1%
	2	A one-family house attached to one or more houses	162	8.0%
	3	A building with 2 or more apartments	355	17.4%
	4	A mobile home	86	4.2%
	5	Boat, RV, van, etc.	5	.2%

**PPINCIMP**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	11
	Label	PPINCIMP. HH income - profile and imputed
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	11.94
	Standard Deviation	4.511
	Percentile 25	9.00
	Percentile 50	13.00
	Percentile 75	16.00

**PPMARIT**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	12		
	Label	PPMARIT. Marital status		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Married	1072	52.7%
	2	Widowed	84	4.2%
	3	Divorced	173	8.5%
	4	Separated	36	1.8%
	5	Never married	520	25.5%
	6	Living with partner	148	7.3%

**PPMSACAT**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	13
	Label	PPMSACAT . MSA Statu s
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.84
	Standard Deviation	.363
	Percentile 25	1.00
	Percentile 50	1.00
	Percentile 75	1.00

**PPREG4**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	14		
	Label	PPREG4. Region 4 - Based On State Of Residence		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	NorthEast	370	18.2%
	2	MidWest	434	21.3%
	3	South	754	37.1%
	4	West	475	23.4%

**PPRENT**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	15		
	Label	PPRENT. Ownership status of living quarters		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	1401	68.9%
	2	Rented for cash	559	27.5%
	3	Occupied without payment of cash rent	73	3.6%

**PPSTATEN**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	16
	Label	PPSTATEN. State - numeric
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	55.92
	Standard Deviation	25.415
	Percentile 25	33.00
	Percentile 50	58.00
	Percentile 75	74.00



**PPT01**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	17
	Label	PPT01. Total number of HH members age 1 or younger
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.03
	Standard Deviation	.193
	Percentile 25	.00
	Percentile 50	.00
	Percentile 75	.00

**PPT1317**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	18
	Label	PPT1317. Total number of HH members age 13 to 17
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.17
	Standard Deviation	.464
	Percentile 25	.00
	Percentile 50	.00
	Percentile 75	.00

**PPT18OV**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	19
	Label	PPT18OV. Total number of HH members age 18 or older
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.25
	Standard Deviation	1.034
	Percentile 25	2.00
	Percentile 50	2.00
	Percentile 75	3.00

**PPT25**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	20
	Label	PPT25. Total number of HH members age 2 to 5
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.11
	Standard Deviation	.360
	Percentile 25	.00
	Percentile 50	.00
	Percentile 75	.00

**PPT612**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	21
	Label	PPT612. Total number of HH members age 6 to 12
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.19
	Standard Deviation	.529
	Percentile 25	.00
	Percentile 50	.00
	Percentile 75	.00

**PPWORK**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	22		
	Label	PPWORK. Current employment status		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	Working - as a paid employee	1024	50.4%
	2	Working - self- employed	140	6.9%
	3	Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	16	.8%
	4	Not working - looking for work	162	8.0%
	5	Not working - retired	344	16.9%
	6	Not working - disabled	138	6.8%
	7	Not working - other	209	10.3%

**PPNET**

		Value
Standard Attributes	Position	23
	Label	PPNET. HHs with Internet Access
	Type	Numeric
	Format	F8
	Measurement	Scale
	Role	Input
N	Valid	2034
	Missing	0
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	.78
	Standard Deviation	.416
	Percentile 25	1.00
	Percentile 50	1.00
	Percentile 75	1.00

**AGEGROUP**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	24		
	Label	AGEGROUP. DOV: Age Group		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	1	less than 18	0	.0%
	2	18-24	260	12.8%
	3	25-34	335	16.5%
	4	35-44	355	17.5%
	5	45-54	309	15.2%
	6	55-64	407	20.0%
	7	65-74	260	12.8%
	8	75 and over	107	5.3%

**Q2\_NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	25		
	Label	Q2_NEW. News about your local community -- When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following: very interested, somewhat interested, or hardly interested at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Very interested	0	.0%
	2	Somewhat interested	0	.0%
	3	Hardly interested	0	.0%
	4	Don't follow the news	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q2\_NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	26		
	Label	Q2_NEW. National news -- When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following: very interested, somewhat interested, or hardly interested at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	19	.9%
	1	Very interested	709	34.8%
	2	Somewhat interested	888	43.7%
	3	Hardly interested	210	10.3%
	4	Don't follow the news	208	10.2%

**Q2\_NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	27		
	Label	Q2_NEW. News about other countries -- When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following: very interested, somewhat interested, or hardly interested at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Very interested	0	.0%
	2	Somewhat interested	0	.0%
	3	Hardly interested	0	.0%
	4	Don't follow the news	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%



**Q2\_NEW\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	28		
	Label	Q2_NEW. News about the relations of the United States with other countries -- When you follow the news these days, how interested are you in reports about the following: very interested, somewhat interested, or hardly interested at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	6	.3%
	1	Very interested	621	30.5%
	2	Somewhat interested	896	44.1%
	3	Hardly interested	298	14.6%
	4	Don't follow the news	213	10.5%

**Q3\_1**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	29		
	Label	Q3_1. In order to make sure that your browser is working correctly, please select number 4 from the below list		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	10	.5%
	1	1	8	.4%
	2	2	5	.2%
	3	3	14	.7%
	4	4	1997	98.2%
	5	5	1	.1%

**Q3**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	30		
	Label	Q3. Do you think it will be best for the future of the country if we take an active part in world affairs or if we stay out of world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	23	1.1%
	1	Active part	1293	63.6%
	2	Stay out	719	35.3%

**Q5\_NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	31		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Islamic fundamentalism -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an im		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	3	.1%
	1	Critical threat	554	27.2%
	2	Important but not critical threat	328	16.1%
	3	Not an important threat	115	5.7%
Missing Values	System		1034	50.8%

**Q5\_NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	32		
	Label	Q5_NEW. The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an import		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	3	.2%
	1	Critical threat	589	29.0%
	2	Important but not critical threat	351	17.3%
	3	Not an important threat	55	2.7%
Missing Values	System		1035	50.9%

**Q5\_NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	33		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S. -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an importan		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	2	.1%
	1	Critical threat	451	22.2%
	2	Important but not critical threat	369	18.1%
	3	Not an important threat	192	9.4%
Missing Values	System		1020	50.2%

Q5\_NEW\_D

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	34		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Climate change -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an important		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	1	.0%
	1	Critical threat	391	19.2%
	2	Important but not critical threat	348	17.1%
	3	Not an important threat	235	11.6%
Missing Values	System		1059	52.1%

**Q5\_NEW\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	35		
	Label	Q5_NEW. International terrorism -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an i		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	3	.1%
	1	Critical threat	682	33.5%
	2	Important but not critical threat	263	13.0%
	3	Not an important threat	45	2.2%
Missing Values	System		1041	51.2%

**Q5\_NEW\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	36		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Iran's nuclear program -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or not an im		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	5	.2%
	1	Critical threat	591	29.0%
	2	Important but not critical threat	375	18.4%
	3	Not an important threat	67	3.3%
Missing Values	System		996	49.0%



**Q5\_NEW\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	37		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Cyber-attacks on U.S. computer networks -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical thr		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	7	.3%
	1	Critical threat	715	35.1%
	2	Important but not critical threat	275	13.5%
	3	Not an important threat	46	2.3%
Missing Values	System		991	48.7%

**Q5\_NEW\_H**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	38		
	Label	Q5_NEW. The continuing conflict in Syria -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	7	.3%
	1	Critical threat	343	16.9%
	2	Important but not critical threat	500	24.6%
Missing Values	3	Not an important threat	119	5.8%
	System		1065	52.4%

**Q5\_NEW\_I**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	39		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Russia's territorial ambitions -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or n		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	8	.4%
	1	Critical threat	317	15.6%
	2	Important but not critical threat	532	26.2%
	3	Not an important threat	144	7.1%
Missing Values	System		1033	50.8%

**Q5\_NEW\_J**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	40		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Territorial disputes between China and its neighbors -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	1	Critical threat	237	11.6%
	2	Important but not critical threat	605	29.7%
	3	Not an important threat	223	11.0%
Missing Values	System		965	47.4%

Q5\_NEW\_K

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	41		
	Label	Q5_NEW. The development of China's economic power -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical t		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	2	.1%
	1	Critical threat	321	15.8%
	2	Important but not critical threat	563	27.7%
Missing Values	3	Not an important threat	155	7.6%
	System		993	48.8%

**Q5\_NEW\_L**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	42		
	Label	Q5_NEW. The development of China's military power -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical t		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	4	.2%
	1	Critical threat	438	21.6%
	2	Important but not critical threat	547	26.9%
Missing Values	3	Not an important threat	80	3.9%
	System		965	47.5%

**Q5\_NEW\_M**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	43		
	Label	Q5_NEW. The rise of violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an importa		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	3	.1%
	1	Critical threat	655	32.2%
	2	Important but not critical threat	315	15.5%
	3	Not an important threat	48	2.4%
Missing Values	System		1014	49.8%

**Q5\_NEW\_N**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	44		
	Label	Q5_NEW. The possibility of violent Islamic extremist groups carrying out a major terrorist attack in the United States -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select wheth		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	1	Critical threat	719	35.3%
	2	Important but not critical threat	232	11.4%
Missing Values	3	Not an important threat	39	1.9%
	System		1039	51.1%



**Q5\_NEW\_O**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	45		
	Label	Q5_NEW. A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	6	.3%
	1	Critical threat	301	14.8%
	2	Important but not critical threat	588	28.9%
Missing Values	3	Not an important threat	154	7.6%
	System		984	48.4%

**Q5\_NEW\_P**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	46		
	Label	Q5_NEW. An international financial crisis -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, o		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	4	.2%
	1	Critical threat	505	24.8%
	2	Important but not critical threat	446	21.9%
	3	Not an important threat	83	4.1%
Missing Values	System		996	48.9%

Q5\_NEW\_Q

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	47		
	Label	Q5_NEW. North Korea's nuclear program -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat, or no		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	7	.4%
	1	Critical threat	543	26.7%
	2	Important but not critical threat	381	18.7%
	3	Not an important threat	56	2.8%
Missing Values	System		1046	51.4%

**Q5\_NEW\_R**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	48		
	Label	Q5_NEW. A confrontation between China and Japan -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical thr		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	9	.4%
	1	Critical threat	229	11.3%
	2	Important but not critical threat	564	27.7%
Missing Values	3	Not an important threat	207	10.2%
	System		1026	50.4%

**Q5\_NEW\_S**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	49		
	Label	Q5_NEW. Ebola and other potential epidemics -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critical threat,		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	1	Critical threat	328	16.1%
	2	Important but not critical threat	525	25.8%
	3	Not an important threat	145	7.1%
Missing Values	System		1030	50.7%

**Q5\_NEW\_T**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	50		
	Label	Q5_NEW. A confrontation between North Korea and Japan -- Below is a list of possible threats to the vital interest of the United States in the next 10 years. For each one, please select whether you see this as a critical threat, an important but not critic		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	9	.5%
	1	Critical threat	259	12.8%
	2	Important but not critical threat	578	28.4%
	3	Not an important threat	180	8.8%
Missing Values	System		1008	49.6%

**Q6\_NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	51		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Islamic fundamentalism -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	11	.5%
	1	Very prepared	95	4.7%
	2	Somewhat prepared	421	20.7%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	314	15.4%
	4	Very unprepared	160	7.9%
Missing Values	System		1034	50.8%

**Q6\_NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	52		
	Label	Q6_NEW. The possibility of unfriendly countries becoming nuclear powers -- And how prepared do you feel the U.S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	13	.6%
	1	Very prepared	101	5.0%
	2	Somewhat prepared	466	22.9%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	276	13.6%
Missing Values	4	Very unprepared	143	7.0%
	System		1035	50.9%



**Q6\_NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	53		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Large numbers of immigrants and refugees coming into the U.S. -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	11	.5%
	1	Very prepared	61	3.0%
	2	Somewhat prepared	357	17.5%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	347	17.1%
	4	Very unprepared	238	11.7%
Missing Values	System		1020	50.2%

**Q6\_NEW\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	54		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Climate change -- And how prepared do you feel the U.S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	17	.8%
	1	Very prepared	84	4.1%
	2	Somewhat prepared	350	17.2%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	327	16.1%
	4	Very unprepared	197	9.7%
Missing Values	System		1059	52.1%

**Q6\_NEW\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	55		
	Label	Q6_NEW. International terrorism -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	14	.7%
	1	Very prepared	146	7.2%
	2	Somewhat prepared	493	24.3%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	217	10.6%
Missing Values	4	Very unprepared	123	6.1%
	System		1041	51.2%

**Q6\_NEW\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	56		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Iran's nuclear program -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	15	.7%
	1	Very prepared	129	6.3%
	2	Somewhat prepared	466	22.9%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	273	13.4%
	4	Very unprepared	154	7.6%
Missing Values	System		996	49.0%

**Q6\_NEW\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	57		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Cyber-attacks on U.S. computer networks -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	11	.5%
	1	Very prepared	72	3.5%
	2	Somewhat prepared	458	22.5%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	319	15.7%
	4	Very unprepared	182	9.0%
Missing Values	System		991	48.7%

**Q6\_NEW\_H**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	58		
	Label	Q6_NEW. The continuing conflict in Syria -- And how prepared do you feel the U.S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	10	.5%
	1	Very prepared	75	3.7%
	2	Somewhat prepared	435	21.4%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	311	15.3%
	4	Very unprepared	138	6.8%
Missing Values	System		1065	52.4%

**Q6\_NEW\_I**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	59		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Russia's territorial ambitions -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	11	.6%
	1	Very prepared	83	4.1%
	2	Somewhat prepared	452	22.2%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	309	15.2%
	4	Very unprepared	146	7.2%
Missing Values	System		1033	50.8%

**Q6\_NEW\_J**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	60		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Territorial disputes between China and its neighbors -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	19	.9%
	1	Very prepared	58	2.8%
	2	Somewhat prepared	452	22.2%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	374	18.4%
Missing Values	4	Very unprepared	167	8.2%
	System		965	47.4%



**Q6\_NEW\_K**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	61		
	Label	Q6_NEW. The development of China's economic power -- And how prepared do you feel the U.S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	10	.5%
	1	Very prepared	87	4.3%
	2	Somewhat prepared	439	21.6%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	355	17.5%
	4	Very unprepared	150	7.4%
Missing Values	System		993	48.8%

**Q6\_NEW\_L**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	62		
	Label	Q6_NEW. The development of China's military power -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	18	.9%
	1	Very prepared	96	4.7%
	2	Somewhat prepared	475	23.4%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	351	17.2%
	4	Very unprepared	129	6.4%
Missing Values	System		965	47.5%

**Q6\_NEW\_M**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	63		
	Label	Q6_NEW. The rise of violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	11	.6%
	1	Very prepared	97	4.8%
	2	Somewhat prepared	429	21.1%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	309	15.2%
Missing Values	4	Very unprepared	174	8.5%
	System		1014	49.8%

**Q6\_NEW\_N**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	64		
	Label	Q6_NEW. The possibility of violent Islamic extremist groups carrying out a major terrorist attack in the United States -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	12	.6%
	1	Very prepared	146	7.2%
	2	Somewhat prepared	482	23.7%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	231	11.4%
Missing Values	4	Very unprepared	124	6.1%
	System		1039	51.1%

**Q6\_NEW\_O**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	65		
	Label	Q6_NEW. A confrontation between North Korea and South Korea -- And how prepared do you feel the U.S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	17	.8%
	1	Very prepared	106	5.2%
	2	Somewhat prepared	514	25.3%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	292	14.4%
	4	Very unprepared	120	5.9%
Missing Values	System		984	48.4%

**Q6\_NEW\_P**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	66		
	Label	Q6_NEW. An international financial crisis -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	13	.7%
	1	Very prepared	68	3.4%
	2	Somewhat prepared	383	18.8%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	352	17.3%
	4	Very unprepared	221	10.9%
Missing Values	System		996	48.9%

**Q6\_NEW\_Q**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	67		
	Label	Q6_NEW. North Korea's nuclear program -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	16	.8%
	1	Very prepared	125	6.2%
	2	Somewhat prepared	467	22.9%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	250	12.3%
	4	Very unprepared	130	6.4%
Missing Values	System		1046	51.4%

**Q6\_NEW\_R**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	68		
	Label	Q6_NEW. A confrontation between China and Japan -- And how prepared do you feel the U.S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	20	1.0%
	1	Very prepared	65	3.2%
	2	Somewhat prepared	420	20.6%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	364	17.9%
	4	Very unprepared	140	6.9%
Missing Values	System		1026	50.4%



**Q6\_NEW\_S**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	69		
	Label	Q6_NEW. Ebola and other potential epidemics -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	12	.6%
	1	Very prepared	140	6.9%
	2	Somewhat prepared	494	24.3%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	238	11.7%
	4	Very unprepared	120	5.9%
Missing Values	System		1030	50.7%

**Q6\_NEW\_T**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	70		
	Label	Q6_NEW. A confrontation between North Korea and Japan -- And how prepared do you feel the U. S. government is to deal with the following threats?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	15	.7%
	1	Very prepared	92	4.5%
	2	Somewhat prepared	448	22.0%
	3	Somewhat unprepared	339	16.7%
	4	Very unprepared	132	6.5%
Missing Values	System		1008	49.6%

**Q7\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	71		
	Label	Q7. Combating international terrorism -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewha		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	1	.1%
	1	Very important	692	34.0%
	2	Somewhat important	316	15.6%
	3	Not important at all	55	2.7%
Missing Values	System		969	47.6%

**Q7\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	72		
	Label	Q7. Maintaining superior military power worldwide -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United State		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	1	Very important	556	27.3%
	2	Somewhat important	369	18.1%
	3	Not important at all	74	3.6%
Missing Values	System		1030	50.6%

**Q7\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	73		
	Label	Q7. Protecting the jobs of American workers -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a s		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	4	.2%
	1	Very important	737	36.3%
	2	Somewhat important	220	10.8%
	3	Not important at all	44	2.2%
Missing Values	System		1028	50.5%

**Q7\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	74		
	Label	Q7. Securing adequate supplies of energy -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a some		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	6	.3%
	1	Very important	610	30.0%
	2	Somewhat important	337	16.6%
	3	Not important at all	45	2.2%
Missing Values	System		1036	50.9%

**Q7\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	75		
	Label	Q7. Controlling and reducing illegal immigration -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	2	.1%
	1	Very important	534	26.2%
	2	Somewhat important	384	18.9%
	3	Not important at all	115	5.7%
Missing Values	System		998	49.1%

**Q7\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	76		
	Label	Q7. Limiting climate change -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	3	.2%
	1	Very important	402	19.8%
	2	Somewhat important	388	19.1%
	3	Not important at all	251	12.3%
Missing Values	System		990	48.7%



**Q7\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	77		
	Label	Q7. Preventing the spread of nuclear weapons -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	1	Very important	698	34.3%
	2	Somewhat important	247	12.1%
	3	Not important at all	22	1.1%
Missing Values	System		1061	52.2%

Q7\_H

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	78		
	Label	Q7. Promoting and defending human rights in other countries -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the Un		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	4	.2%
	1	Very important	307	15.1%
	2	Somewhat important	582	28.6%
	3	Not important at all	143	7.0%
Missing Values	System		997	49.0%

**Q7\_I**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	79		
	Label	Q7. Combating world hunger -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat important		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	2	.1%
	1	Very important	423	20.8%
	2	Somewhat important	481	23.6%
	3	Not important at all	100	4.9%
Missing Values	System		1028	50.5%

Q7\_J

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	80		
	Label	Q7. Defending our allies' security -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a somewhat i		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	5	.2%
	1	Very important	376	18.5%
	2	Somewhat important	548	27.0%
	3	Not important at all	66	3.3%
Missing Values	System		1039	51.1%

Q7\_K

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	81		
	Label	Q7. Reducing economic inequality in the world -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	7	.4%
	1	Very important	282	13.9%
	2	Somewhat important	488	24.0%
	3	Not important at all	245	12.0%
Missing Values	System		1012	49.7%

Q7\_L

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	82		
	Label	Q7. Improving access to clean water for the world's population -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	5	.3%
	1	Very important	542	26.7%
	2	Somewhat important	384	18.9%
	3	Not important at all	99	4.9%
Missing Values	System		1003	49.3%

**Q7\_M**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	83		
	Label	Q7. Improving America's standing in the world -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United States, a		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	7	.4%
	1	Very important	547	26.9%
	2	Somewhat important	388	19.1%
	3	Not important at all	81	4.0%
Missing Values	System		1011	49.7%

Q7\_N

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	84		
	Label	Q7. Safeguarding against global financial instability -- Below is a list of possible foreign policy goals that the United States might have. For each one please select whether you think that it should be a very important foreign policy goal of the United S		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	10	.5%
	1	Very important	487	23.9%
	2	Somewhat important	447	22.0%
	3	Not important at all	54	2.6%
Missing Values	System		1036	51.0%



**Q8\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	85		
	Label	Q8. Strengthening the United Nations -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	33	1.6%
	1	Very effective	427	21.0%
	2	Somewhat effective	797	39.2%
	3	Not very effective	488	24.0%
	4	Not effective at all	288	14.2%

**Q8\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	86		
	Label	Q8. Maintaining U. S. military superiority -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	27	1.3%
	1	Very effective	804	39.5%
	2	Somewhat effective	820	40.3%
	3	Not very effective	267	13.1%
	4	Not effective at all	116	5.7%

**Q8\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	87		
	Label	Q8. Placing sanctions on other countries -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	36	1.8%
	1	Very effective	263	12.9%
	2	Somewhat effective	972	47.8%
	3	Not very effective	578	28.4%
	4	Not effective at all	184	9.1%

Q8\_D

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	88		
	Label	Q8. Signing free trade agreements with other countries -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	38	1.9%
	1	Very effective	274	13.5%
	2	Somewhat effective	1034	50.8%
	3	Not very effective	506	24.9%
	4	Not effective at all	183	9.0%

**Q8\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	89		
	Label	Q8. Maintaining existing alliances -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	27	1.3%
	1	Very effective	650	32.0%
	2	Somewhat effective	1054	51.8%
	3	Not very effective	216	10.6%
	4	Not effective at all	87	4.3%

**Q8\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	90		
	Label	Q8. Building new alliances with other countries -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at a		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	35	1.7%
	1	Very effective	497	24.4%
	2	Somewhat effective	1043	51.3%
	3	Not very effective	350	17.2%
	4	Not effective at all	109	5.4%

**Q8\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	91		
	Label	Q8. Economic aid to other countries -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	35	1.7%
	1	Very effective	229	11.3%
	2	Somewhat effective	957	47.1%
	3	Not very effective	610	30.0%
	4	Not effective at all	203	10.0%

Q8\_H

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	92		
	Label	Q8. Military aid to other countries -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	29	1.4%
	1	Very effective	240	11.8%
	2	Somewhat effective	983	48.3%
	3	Not very effective	583	28.6%
	4	Not effective at all	199	9.8%



**Q8\_I**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	93		
	Label	Q8. International treaties -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or not effective at all?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	35	1.7%
	1	Very effective	320	15.8%
	2	Somewhat effective	1076	52.9%
	3	Not very effective	480	23.6%
	4	Not effective at all	122	6.0%

Q8\_J

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	94		
	Label	Q8. Drone strikes against suspected terrorists in other countries -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective, not very effective or		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	34	1.7%
	1	Very effective	447	22.0%
	2	Somewhat effective	986	48.5%
	3	Not very effective	420	20.6%
	4	Not effective at all	148	7.3%

Q8\_K

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	95		
	Label	Q8. Visits by the President, Secretary of State, or other senior officials to other countries -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very effective, somewhat effective		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	29	1.4%
	1	Very effective	285	14.0%
	2	Somewhat effective	880	43.3%
	3	Not very effective	603	29.7%
	4	Not effective at all	237	11.6%

Q8\_L

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	96		
	Label	Q8. Spying and intelligence gathering by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and the National Security Agency (NSA) -- And how effective do you think each of the following approaches are to achieving the foreign policy goals of the United States - very e		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	30	1.5%
	1	Very effective	434	21.4%
	2	Somewhat effective	1049	51.6%
	3	Not very effective	377	18.6%
	4	Not effective at all	143	7.0%

**Q20\_NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	97		
	Label	Q20_NEW. The United States -- From your point of view, how desirable is it that the following countries exert strong leadership in world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	24	1.2%
	1	Very desirable	1354	66.6%
	2	Somewhat desirable	501	24.6%
	3	Somewhat undesirable	91	4.5%
	4	Very undesirable	64	3.1%

**Q20\_NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	98		
	Label	Q20_NEW. China -- From your point of view, how desirable is it that the following countries exert strong leadership in world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	40	2.0%
	1	Very desirable	296	14.6%
	2	Somewhat desirable	737	36.2%
	3	Somewhat undesirable	694	34.1%
	4	Very undesirable	267	13.1%

**Q20\_NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	99		
	Label	Q20_NEW. Russia -- From your point of view, how desirable is it that the following countries exert strong leadership in world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	38	1.9%
	1	Very desirable	235	11.6%
	2	Somewhat desirable	633	31.1%
	3	Somewhat undesirable	768	37.7%
	4	Very undesirable	360	17.7%

**Q20\_NEW\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	100		
	Label	Q20_NEW. The European Union -- From your point of view, how desirable is it that the following countries exert strong leadership in world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	36	1.8%
	1	Very desirable	753	37.0%
	2	Somewhat desirable	871	42.8%
	3	Somewhat undesirable	277	13.6%
	4	Very undesirable	96	4.7%



**Q20\_NEW\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	101		
	Label	Q20_NEW. Japan -- From your point of view, how desirable is it that the following countries exert strong leadership in world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	44	2.2%
	1	Very desirable	466	22.9%
	2	Somewhat desirable	1023	50.3%
	3	Somewhat undesirable	384	18.9%
	4	Very undesirable	117	5.7%

**Q20\_NEW\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	102		
	Label	Q20_NEW. South Korea -- From your point of view, how desirable is it that the following countries exert strong leadership in world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	39	1.9%
	1	Very desirable	301	14.8%
	2	Somewhat desirable	966	47.5%
	3	Somewhat undesirable	511	25.1%
	4	Very undesirable	218	10.7%

**Q20\_NEW\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	103		
	Label	Q20_NEW. India -- From your point of view, how desirable is it that the following countries exert strong leadership in world affairs?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	49	2.4%
	1	Very desirable	244	12.0%
	2	Somewhat desirable	1034	50.9%
	3	Somewhat undesirable	555	27.3%
	4	Very undesirable	152	7.5%

**Q11\_NEW**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	104		
	Label	Q11_NEW. What kind of leadership role should the United States play in the world? Should it be the dominant world leader, or should it play a shared leadership role, or should it not play any leadership role?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	17	.8%
	1	Dominant world leader	570	28.0%
	2	Play a shared leadership role	1279	62.9%
	3	Not play any leadership role	169	8.3%

**Q12\_NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	105		
	Label	Q12_NEW. The United States -- How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	21	1.0%
	1	A great deal	782	38.4%
	2	A fair amount	878	43.2%
	3	Not very much	238	11.7%
	4	No confidence at all	115	5.6%

**Q12\_NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	106		
	Label	Q12_NEW. China -- How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	30	1.5%
	1	A great deal	139	6.8%
	2	A fair amount	548	26.9%
	3	Not very much	846	41.6%
	4	No confidence at all	471	23.1%

**Q12\_NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	107		
	Label	Q12_NEW. Russia -- How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	32	1.6%
	1	A great deal	96	4.7%
	2	A fair amount	448	22.1%
	3	Not very much	814	40.0%
	4	No confidence at all	643	31.6%

**Q12\_NEW\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	108		
	Label	Q12_NEW. Japan -- How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	29	1.4%
	1	A great deal	222	10.9%
	2	A fair amount	958	47.1%
	3	Not very much	607	29.8%
	4	No confidence at all	217	10.7%



**Q12\_NEW\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	109		
	Label	Q12_NEW. South Korea -- How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	34	1.7%
	1	A great deal	99	4.9%
	2	A fair amount	637	31.3%
	3	Not very much	882	43.3%
	4	No confidence at all	382	18.8%

**Q12\_NEW\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	110		
	Label	Q12_NEW. The European Union -- How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	28	1.4%
	1	A great deal	331	16.3%
	2	A fair amount	1002	49.2%
	3	Not very much	497	24.4%
	4	No confidence at all	177	8.7%

**Q12\_NEW\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	111		
	Label	Q12_NEW. India -- How much confidence would you say you have in the following countries to deal responsibly with world problems?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	29	1.4%
	1	A great deal	66	3.3%
	2	A fair amount	630	31.0%
	3	Not very much	943	46.4%
	4	No confidence at all	365	17.9%

**Dov\_Q16\_split\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	112		
	Label	Dov_Q16_ split. See Q16_new -- Dov_Q16_ split: RANDOMLY ASSIGNING TO ANY ONE OF THE CATEGORY		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1009	49.6%
	1	Yes	1025	50.4%

**Dov\_Q16\_split\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	113		
	Label	Dov_Q16_split. See Q15_new -- Dov_Q16_split: RANDOMLY ASSIGNING TO ANY ONE OF THE CATEGORY		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1025	50.4%
	1	Yes	1009	49.6%

**Q16\_NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	114		
	Label	Q16_NEW. Europe -- And now, thinking about U.S. national security, how important are the following regions to the security interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	12	.6%
	1	Very important	411	20.2%
	2	Somewhat important	426	20.9%
	3	Not very important	136	6.7%
	4	Not at all important	40	2.0%
Missing Values	System		1009	49.6%

**Q16\_NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	115		
	Label	Q16_NEW. Asia-Pacific -- And now, thinking about U.S. national security, how important are the following regions to the security interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	12	.6%
	1	Very important	324	15.9%
	2	Somewhat important	489	24.0%
	3	Not very important	163	8.0%
	4	Not at all important	37	1.8%
Missing Values	System		1009	49.6%

**Q16\_NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	116		
	Label	Q16_NEW. The Middle East -- And now, thinking about U.S. national security, how important are the following regions to the security interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	10	.5%
	1	Very important	511	25.1%
	2	Somewhat important	364	17.9%
	3	Not very important	105	5.2%
	4	Not at all important	35	1.7%
Missing Values	System		1009	49.6%

**Q16\_NEW\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	117		
	Label	Q16_NEW. Africa -- And now, thinking about U.S. national security, how important are the following regions to the security interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	11	.5%
	1	Very important	219	10.8%
	2	Somewhat important	473	23.2%
	3	Not very important	254	12.5%
	4	Not at all important	67	3.3%
Missing Values	System		1009	49.6%

**Q16\_NEW\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	118		
	Label	Q16_NEW. Latin America -- And now, thinking about U.S. national security, how important are the following regions to the security interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	13	.7%
	1	Very important	292	14.3%
	2	Somewhat important	475	23.4%
	3	Not very important	197	9.7%
	4	Not at all important	47	2.3%
Missing Values	System		1009	49.6%



**Q490\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	119		
	Label	Q490. Europe -- And do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	47	2.3%
	1	Increased	132	6.5%
	2	Maintained at its present level	1298	63.8%
	3	Decreased	557	27.4%

**Q490\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	120		
	Label	Q490. Asia-Pacific -- And do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	47	2.3%
	1	Increased	234	11.5%
	2	Maintained at its present level	1310	64.4%
	3	Decreased	443	21.8%

**Q490\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	121		
	Label	Q490. The Middle East -- And do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	48	2.4%
	1	Increased	592	29.1%
	2	Maintained at its present level	875	43.0%
	3	Decreased	519	25.5%

**Q490\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	122		
	Label	Q490. Africa -- And do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	48	2.3%
	1	Increased	257	12.6%
	2	Maintained at its present level	1195	58.7%
	3	Decreased	535	26.3%

**Q490\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	123		
	Label	Q490. Latin America -- And do you think that the U.S. military presence in the following regions should be increased, maintained at its present level, or do you think it should be decreased?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	49	2.4%
	1	Increased	152	7.5%
	2	Maintained at its present level	1285	63.2%
	3	Decreased	548	27.0%

**Q30\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	124		
	Label	Q30. If North Korea invaded South Korea -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. tr		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	27	1.3%
	1	Favor	328	16.1%
	2	Oppose	338	16.6%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	125		
	Label	Q30. If China invaded Taiwan -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	29	1.4%
	1	Favor	194	9.5%
	2	Oppose	469	23.1%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	126		
	Label	Q30. To stop a government from committing genocide and killing large numbers of its own people -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some s		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	25	1.2%
	1	Favor	470	23.1%
	2	Oppose	197	9.7%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%



**Q30\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	127		
	Label	Q30. If Israel were attacked by its neighbors -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the use of U		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	23	1.1%
	1	Favor	363	17.9%
	2	Oppose	306	15.0%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	128		
	Label	Q30. To stop Iran from obtaining nuclear weapons -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the use o		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	24	1.2%
	1	Favor	463	22.8%
	2	Oppose	205	10.1%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	129		
	Label	Q30. If Russia invades the rest of Ukraine -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	30	1.5%
	1	Favor	212	10.4%
	2	Oppose	450	22.1%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	130		
	Label	Q30. If Russia invades a NATO ally like Latvia, Lithuania, or Estonia -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	30	1.5%
	1	Favor	310	15.2%
	2	Oppose	352	17.3%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_H**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	131		
	Label	Q30. If China initiates a military conflict with Japan over disputed islands -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would y		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	26	1.3%
	1	Favor	226	11.1%
	2	Oppose	440	21.6%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_I**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	132		
	Label	Q30. To fight against violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you f		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	25	1.2%
	1	Favor	394	19.4%
	2	Oppose	273	13.4%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

**Q30\_J**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	133		
	Label	Q30. If North Korea attacks Japan -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	29	1.4%
	1	Favor	335	16.5%
	2	Oppose	328	16.1%
Missing Values	System		1342	66.0%

QMQ30B\_A

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	134		
	Label	QMQ30B. If North Korea invaded South Korea -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized military mission. Please give your		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	23	1.1%
	1	Favor	363	17.9%
	2	Oppose	290	14.2%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%



Q30B\_B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	135		
	Label	Q30B. If China invaded Taiwan -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized military mission. Please give your opinion ab		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	28	1.4%
	1	Favor	232	11.4%
	2	Oppose	416	20.5%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

QMQ30B\_C

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	136		
	Label	QMQ30B. To stop a government from committing genocide and killing large numbers of its own people -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	18	.9%
	1	Favor	479	23.5%
	2	Oppose	179	8.8%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

QMQ30B\_D

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	137		
	Label	QMQ30B. If Israel were attacked by its neighbors -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized military mission. Please giv		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	23	1.1%
	1	Favor	346	17.0%
	2	Oppose	307	15.1%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

Q30B\_E

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	138		
	Label	Q30B. To stop Iran from obtaining nuclear weapons -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized military mission. Please		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	17	.8%
	1	Favor	473	23.3%
	2	Oppose	186	9.1%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

QMQ30B\_F

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	139		
	Label	QMQ30B. If Russia invades the rest of Ukraine -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized military mission. Please give y		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	27	1.3%
	1	Favor	246	12.1%
	2	Oppose	403	19.8%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

Q30B\_G

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	140		
	Label	Q30B. If Russia invades a NATO ally like Latvia, Lithuania, or Estonia -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized mili		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	25	1.2%
	1	Favor	322	15.8%
	2	Oppose	329	16.2%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

Q30B\_H

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	141		
	Label	Q30B. If China initiates a military conflict with Japan over disputed islands -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authoriz		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	30	1.5%
	1	Favor	245	12.0%
	2	Oppose	402	19.7%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

QNQ30B\_I

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	142		
	Label	QNQ30B. To fight against violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized m		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	18	.9%
	1	Favor	420	20.7%
	2	Oppose	238	11.7%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%



QNQ30B\_J

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	143		
	Label	QNQ30B. If North Korea attacks Japan -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world as part of a United Nations Security Council authorized military mission. Please give your opini		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	26	1.3%
	1	Favor	354	17.4%
	2	Oppose	296	14.6%
Missing Values	System		1358	66.8%

Q30D\_A

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	144		
	Label	Q30D. If North Korea invaded South Korea -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose th		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	20	1.0%
	1	Favor	329	16.2%
	2	Oppose	318	15.6%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QNQ30D\_B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	145		
	Label	QNQ30D. If China invaded Taiwan -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the unilatera		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	27	1.3%
	1	Favor	207	10.2%
	2	Oppose	432	21.3%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QMQ30D\_C

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	146		
	Label	QMQ30D. To stop a government from committing genocide and killing large numbers of its own people -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opin		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	14	.7%
	1	Favor	436	21.5%
	2	Oppose	216	10.6%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QMQ30D\_D

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	147		
	Label	QMQ30D. If Israel were attacked by its neighbors -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or opp		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	20	1.0%
	1	Favor	311	15.3%
	2	Oppose	336	16.5%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QMQ30D\_E

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	148		
	Label	QMQ30D. To stop Iran from obtaining nuclear weapons -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	15	.7%
	1	Favor	451	22.2%
	2	Oppose	201	9.9%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QNG30D\_F

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	149		
	Label	QNG30D. If Russia invades the rest of Ukraine -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	22	1.1%
	1	Favor	213	10.5%
	2	Oppose	432	21.2%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QNQ30D\_G

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	150		
	Label	QNQ30D. If Russia invades a NATO ally like Latvia, Lithuania, or Estonia -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situations		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	22	1.1%
	1	Favor	279	13.7%
	2	Oppose	365	17.9%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%



QMQ30D\_H

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	151		
	Label	QMQ30D. If China initiates a military conflict with Japan over disputed islands -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some sit		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	21	1.0%
	1	Favor	212	10.4%
	2	Oppose	434	21.3%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QNN30D\_I

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	152		
	Label	QNN30D. To fight against violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situati		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	18	.9%
	1	Favor	404	19.9%
	2	Oppose	244	12.0%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

QMQ30D\_J

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	153		
	Label	QMQ30D. If North Korea attacks Japan -- There has been some discussion about the circumstances that might justify using U.S. troops in other parts of the world unilaterally. Please give your opinion about some situations. Would you favor or oppose the unil		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	21	1.0%
	1	Favor	324	15.9%
	2	Oppose	321	15.8%
Missing Values	System		1368	67.2%

**Q250**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	154		
	Label	Q250. In response to the situation involving Russia and Ukraine, would you support or oppose the United States:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Increasing diplomatic efforts with Russia	0	.0%
	2	Increasing economic and diplomatic sanctions on Russia	0	.0%
	3	Sending arms and military supplies to the Ukrainian government	0	.0%
	4	Training Ukrainian military troops	0	.0%
	5	Providing economic assistance to Ukraine	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q250\_New\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	155		
	Label	Q250_New. Increasing diplomatic efforts with Russia -- In response to the situation involving Russia and Ukraine, would you support or oppose the United States:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	70	3.5%
	1	Support	1298	63.8%
	2	Oppose	666	32.8%

**Q250\_New\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	156		
	Label	Q250_New. Increasing economic and diplomatic sanctions on Russia -- In response to the situation involving Russia and Ukraine, would you support or oppose the United States:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	75	3.7%
	1	Support	1225	60.2%
	2	Oppose	734	36.1%

**Q250\_New\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	157		
	Label	Q250_New. Sending arms and military supplies to the Ukrainian government -- In response to the situation involving Russia and Ukraine, would you support or oppose the United States:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	74	3.7%
	1	Support	813	40.0%
	2	Oppose	1147	56.4%

**Q250\_New\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	158		
	Label	Q250_New. Training Ukrainian military troops -- In response to the situation involving Russia and Ukraine, would you support or oppose the United States:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	77	3.8%
	1	Support	1065	52.3%
	2	Oppose	893	43.9%

**Q250\_New\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	159		
	Label	Q250_New. Providing economic assistance to Ukraine -- In response to the situation involving Russia and Ukraine, would you support or oppose the United States:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	70	3.4%
	1	Support	1013	49.8%
	2	Oppose	951	46.7%

**Q15\_NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	160		
	Label	Q15_NEW. Europe -- Now thinking about the U.S. economy, how important are the following regions to the economic interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	30	1.5%
	1	Very important	401	19.7%
	2	Somewhat important	456	22.4%
	3	Not very important	85	4.2%
	4	Not at all important	38	1.9%
Missing Values	System		1025	50.4%



**Q15\_NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	161		
	Label	Q15_NEW. Asia-Pacific -- Now thinking about the U.S. economy, how important are the following regions to the economic interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	32	1.6%
	1	Very important	339	16.7%
	2	Somewhat important	447	22.0%
	3	Not very important	147	7.2%
	4	Not at all important	46	2.2%
Missing Values	System		1025	50.4%

**Q15\_NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	162		
	Label	Q15_NEW. The Middle East -- Now thinking about the U.S. economy, how important are the following regions to the economic interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	32	1.6%
	1	Very important	254	12.5%
	2	Somewhat important	481	23.7%
	3	Not very important	189	9.3%
	4	Not at all important	54	2.7%
Missing Values	System		1025	50.4%

**Q15\_NEW\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	163		
	Label	Q15_NEW. Africa -- Now thinking about the U.S. economy, how important are the following regions to the economic interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	28	1.4%
	1	Very important	102	5.0%
	2	Somewhat important	422	20.8%
	3	Not very important	355	17.5%
	4	Not at all important	102	5.0%
Missing Values	System		1025	50.4%

**Q15\_NEW\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	164		
	Label	Q15_NEW. Latin America -- Now thinking about the U.S. economy, how important are the following regions to the economic interests of the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	32	1.6%
	1	Very important	187	9.2%
	2	Somewhat important	515	25.3%
	3	Not very important	221	10.9%
	4	Not at all important	55	2.7%
Missing Values	System		1025	50.4%

**Q75\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	165		
	Label	Q75. The U.S. economy -- Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q75\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	166		
	Label	Q75. Consumers like you -- Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q75\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	167		
	Label	Q75. The Mexican economy -- Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q75\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	168		
	Label	Q75. Job security for American workers -- Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q75\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	169		
	Label	Q75. U.S. national security -- Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q75\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	170		
	Label	Q75. American companies -- Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q75\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	171		
	Label	Q75. Mexican companies -- Overall, do you think the North American Free Trade Agreement, also known as NAFTA, is good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%



**Q80NEW\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	172		
	Label	Q80NEW. The U.S. economy -- Overall, do you think the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP) free trade agreement currently being negotiated with almost a dozen countries in the Asia- Pacific will be good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q80NEW\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	173		
	Label	Q80NEW. Consumers like you -- Overall, do you think the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP) free trade agreement currently being negotiated with almost a dozen countries in the Asia- Pacific will be good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q80NEW\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	174		
	Label	Q80NEW. Asian economies -- Overall, do you think the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP) free trade agreement currently being negotiated with almost a dozen countries in the Asia- Pacific will be good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q80NEW\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	175		
	Label	Q80NEW. Job security for American workers -- Overall, do you think the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP) free trade agreement currently being negotiated with almost a dozen countries in the Asia-Pacific will be good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q80NEW\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	176		
	Label	Q80NEW. U. S. national security -- Overall, do you think the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP) free trade agreement currently being negotiated with almost a dozen countries in the Asia-Pacific will be good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q80NEW\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	177		
	Label	Q80NEW. American companies -- Overall, do you think the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP) free trade agreement currently being negotiated with almost a dozen countries in the Asia- Pacific will be good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q80NEW\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	178		
	Label	Q80NEW. Asian companies -- Overall, do you think the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP) free trade agreement currently being negotiated with almost a dozen countries in the Asia- Pacific will be good or bad for:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Good	0	.0%
	2	Bad	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q71a**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	179		
	Label	Q71a. As you may know, the United States is now negotiating a free trade agreement with twelve Pacific nations called the Trans-Pacific Partnership (or TPP). Based on what you know, do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly opp		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	143	7.0%
	1	I strongly support it	219	10.8%
	2	I somewhat support it	1080	53.1%
	3	I somewhat oppose it	373	18.3%
	4	I strongly oppose it	220	10.8%



**Q85\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	180		
	Label	Q85. Supporting open trade around the world -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	44	2.1%
	1	Very important	589	29.0%
	2	Somewhat important	1033	50.8%
	3	Not very important	274	13.5%
	4	Not important at all	94	4.6%

**Q85\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	181		
	Label	Q85. Improving public education -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	35	1.7%
	1	Very important	1308	64.3%
	2	Somewhat important	541	26.6%
	3	Not very important	95	4.6%
	4	Not important at all	55	2.7%

**Q85\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	182		
	Label	Q85. Reducing federal budget deficits -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	35	1.7%
	1	Very important	1188	58.4%
	2	Somewhat important	626	30.8%
	3	Not very important	125	6.1%
	4	Not important at all	60	3.0%

**Q85\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	183		
	Label	Q85. Increasing public spending on infrastructure such as bridges and airports -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	40	2.0%
	1	Very important	895	44.0%
	2	Somewhat important	796	39.2%
	3	Not very important	233	11.5%
	4	Not important at all	69	3.4%

Q85\_E

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	184		
	Label	Q85. Encouraging companies to locate their headquarters in the U.S. -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	37	1.8%
	1	Very important	1068	52.5%
	2	Somewhat important	707	34.7%
	3	Not very important	157	7.7%
	4	Not important at all	65	3.2%

Q85\_F

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	185		
	Label	Q85. Encouraging foreign investors to invest in U.S. companies and projects -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	42	2.1%
	1	Very important	790	38.8%
	2	Somewhat important	859	42.2%
	3	Not very important	250	12.3%
	4	Not important at all	92	4.5%

**Q85\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	186		
	Label	Q85. Investing in renewable energy -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	18	.9%
	1	Very important	546	26.9%
	2	Somewhat important	336	16.5%
	3	Not very important	77	3.8%
	4	Not important at all	29	1.4%
Missing Values	System		1026	50.5%

Q85\_H

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	187		
	Label	Q85. Investing in gas and oil extraction -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	21	1.0%
	1	Very important	425	20.9%
	2	Somewhat important	410	20.2%
	3	Not very important	125	6.1%
	4	Not important at all	45	2.2%
Missing Values	System		1008	49.5%



Q85\_I

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	188		
	Label	Q85. Encouraging legal immigration -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	21	1.0%
	1	Very important	306	15.1%
	2	Somewhat important	405	19.9%
	3	Not very important	166	8.2%
	4	Not important at all	114	5.6%
Missing Values	System		1021	50.2%

Q85\_J

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	189		
	Label	Q85. Reducing legal immigration -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	19	.9%
	1	Very important	278	13.7%
	2	Somewhat important	313	15.4%
	3	Not very important	269	13.2%
	4	Not important at all	141	6.9%
Missing Values	System		1013	49.8%

**Q85\_K**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	190		
	Label	Q85. Investing in science and technology research -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	39	1.9%
	1	Very important	1177	57.8%
	2	Somewhat important	670	32.9%
	3	Not very important	95	4.7%
	4	Not important at all	54	2.6%

Q85\_L

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	191		
	Label	Q85. Strengthening intellectual property enforcement abroad -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	66	3.2%
	1	Very important	564	27.7%
	2	Somewhat important	934	45.9%
	3	Not very important	371	18.3%
	4	Not important at all	98	4.8%

**Q85\_M**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	192		
	Label	Q85. Reducing the cost of attending college -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	28	1.4%
	1	Very important	1084	53.3%
	2	Somewhat important	652	32.1%
	3	Not very important	202	9.9%
	4	Not important at all	68	3.3%

**Q85\_N**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	193		
	Label	Q85. Controlling and reducing undocumente d immigration -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	22	1.1%
	1	Very important	460	22.6%
	2	Somewhat important	338	16.6%
	3	Not very important	105	5.2%
	4	Not important at all	56	2.7%
Missing Values	System		1053	51.8%

**Q85\_O**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	194		
	Label	Q85. Granting legal status to undocumented immigrants -- Please indicate how important the following factors are to the United States remaining competitive with other countries in the global economy:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	18	.9%
	1	Very important	164	8.1%
	2	Somewhat important	305	15.0%
	3	Not very important	271	13.3%
	4	Not important at all	295	14.5%
Missing Values	System		981	48.2%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q405\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	195		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q405. Illegal -- DOV_Split Q405		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	0	No	1049	51.6%
	1	Yes	985	48.4%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q405\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	196		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q405. Undocumented -- DOV_Split Q405		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	985	48.4%
	1	Yes	1049	51.6%

**POV\_SPLIT\_Q405\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	197		
	Label	POV_SPLIT_Q405. illegal -- POV_Split Q405		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1049	51.6%
	1	Yes	985	48.4%

**POV\_SPLIT\_Q405\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	198		
	Label	POV_SPLIT_Q405. undocumented -- POV_Split Q405		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	985	48.4%
	1	Yes	1049	51.6%



**Q405**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	199		
	Label	Q405. When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about {#\ POVSPLIT_Q405} immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	38	1.9%
	1	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship	646	31.7%
	2	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and	533	26.2%
	3	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship	246	12.1%
	4	They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S.	572	28.1%

**Q405A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	200		
	Label	Q405A. When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about illegal immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	985		
	Missing	1049		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.31		
	Standard Deviation	1.309		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	4.00		

**Q405A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	23	1.2%
	1	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship	316	15.5%
	2	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and	238	11.7%
	3	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship	127	6.2%
	4	They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S.	281	13.8%

**Q405B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	201		
	Label	Q405B. When it comes to immigration, which comes closest to your view about undocumented immigrants who are currently working in the U.S.?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1049		
	Missing	985		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.31		
	Standard Deviation	1.251		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	4.00		

**Q405B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	15	.7%
	1	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to apply for U.S. citizenship	330	16.2%
	2	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs and to eventually apply for U.S. citizenship only if they pay a penalty and	295	14.5%
	3	They should be allowed to stay in their jobs with work permits, but not apply for U.S. citizenship	119	5.9%
	4	They should be required to leave their jobs and leave the U.S.	291	14.3%

**Q244\_NEW**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	202		
	Label	Q244_NEW. Overall, do you support or oppose the United States ending the trade embargo with Cuba?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	101	5.0%
	1	Support	1359	66.8%
	2	Oppose	574	28.2%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q245\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	203		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q245. See Q245a -- DOV_Split_Q245		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1018	50.0%
	1	Yes	1016	50.0%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q245\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	204		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q245. See Q245b -- DOV_Split_Q245		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1016	50.0%
	1	Yes	1018	50.0%

**Q245B\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	205		
	Label	Q245B. Improve human rights in Cuba -- How confident are you that proposed changes in U. S.-Cuba relations will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	41	2.0%
	1	Very confident	160	7.9%
	2	Somewhat confident	392	19.3%
	3	Not very confident	294	14.4%
	4	Not at all confident	131	6.4%
Missing Values	System		1016	50.0%

**Q245B\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	206		
	Label	Q245B. Improve living standards in Cuba -- How confident are you that proposed changes in U. S.-Cuba relations will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	41	2.0%
	1	Very confident	184	9.1%
	2	Somewhat confident	429	21.1%
	3	Not very confident	268	13.2%
	4	Not at all confident	96	4.7%
Missing Values	System		1016	50.0%



**Q245B\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	207		
	Label	Q245B. Help U.S. businesses -- How confident are you that proposed changes in U. S.-Cuba relations will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	38	1.9%
	1	Very confident	198	9.7%
	2	Somewhat confident	434	21.3%
	3	Not very confident	249	12.2%
	4	Not at all confident	99	4.9%
Missing Values	System		1016	50.0%

**Q245B\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	208		
	Label	Q245B. Improve the image of the U.S. in the world -- How confident are you that proposed changes in U. S.-Cuba relations will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	38	1.9%
	1	Very confident	179	8.8%
	2	Somewhat confident	404	19.9%
	3	Not very confident	271	13.3%
	4	Not at all confident	125	6.2%
Missing Values	System		1016	50.0%

**Q245B\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	209		
	Label	Q245B. Improve political freedoms in Cuba -- How confident are you that proposed changes in U. S.-Cuba relations will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	41	2.0%
	1	Very confident	149	7.3%
	2	Somewhat confident	391	19.2%
	3	Not very confident	302	14.8%
	4	Not at all confident	136	6.7%
Missing Values	System		1016	50.0%

**Q245B\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	210		
	Label	Q245B. Help the Cuban economy -- How confident are you that proposed changes in U. S.-Cuba relations will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	38	1.9%
	1	Very confident	274	13.5%
	2	Somewhat confident	443	21.8%
	3	Not very confident	197	9.7%
	4	Not at all confident	67	3.3%
Missing Values	System		1016	50.0%

**Q245B\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	211		
	Label	Q245B. Weaken the Cuban government -- How confident are you that proposed changes in U. S.-Cuba relations will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	44	2.2%
	1	Very confident	55	2.7%
	2	Somewhat confident	271	13.3%
	3	Not very confident	454	22.3%
	4	Not at all confident	194	9.6%
Missing Values	System		1016	50.0%

**Q325A\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	212		
	Label	Q325A. The United States -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	55	2.7%
	1	Very positive	217	10.7%
	2	Somewhat positive	496	24.4%
	3	Somewhat negative	207	10.2%
	4	Very negative	67	3.3%
Missing Values	System		992	48.8%

**Q325A\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	213		
	Label	Q325A. Iran -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	66	3.2%
	1	Very positive	31	1.5%
	2	Somewhat positive	146	7.2%
	3	Somewhat negative	453	22.3%
	4	Very negative	345	17.0%
Missing Values	System		992	48.8%

**Q325A\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	214		
	Label	Q325A. Israel -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	63	3.1%
	1	Very positive	130	6.4%
	2	Somewhat positive	369	18.1%
	3	Somewhat negative	372	18.3%
	4	Very negative	108	5.3%
Missing Values	System		992	48.8%



**Q325A\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	215		
	Label	Q325A. Saudi Arabia -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	69	3.4%
	1	Very positive	48	2.3%
	2	Somewhat positive	357	17.6%
	3	Somewhat negative	440	21.6%
	4	Very negative	128	6.3%
Missing Values	System		992	48.8%

**Q325A\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	216		
	Label	Q325A. Turkey -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	70	3.4%
	1	Very positive	25	1.3%
	2	Somewhat positive	404	19.9%
	3	Somewhat negative	451	22.2%
	4	Very negative	91	4.5%
Missing Values	System		992	48.8%

**Q325A\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	217		
	Label	Q325A. Iraq -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing the Middle East?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	63	3.1%
	1	Very positive	22	1.1%
	2	Somewhat positive	184	9.1%
	3	Somewhat negative	505	24.8%
	4	Very negative	268	13.2%
Missing Values	System		992	48.8%

**Q326\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	218		
	Label	Q326. U.S. policy in the region -- How much do you think each of the following factors contributes to terrorism in the Middle East today?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	94	4.6%
	1	Great deal	435	21.4%
	2	Fair amount	857	42.1%
	3	Not very much	521	25.6%
	4	Not at all	126	6.2%

**Q326\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	219		
	Label	Q326. The divide between Sunni and Shia Muslims -- How much do you think each of the following factors contributes to terrorism in the Middle East today?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	102	5.0%
	1	Great deal	809	39.8%
	2	Fair amount	828	40.7%
	3	Not very much	222	10.9%
	4	Not at all	73	3.6%

**Q326\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	220		
	Label	Q326. Islamic extremism -- How much do you think each of the following factors contributes to terrorism in the Middle East today?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	79	3.9%
	1	Great deal	1359	66.8%
	2	Fair amount	412	20.3%
	3	Not very much	123	6.0%
	4	Not at all	61	3.0%

**Q326\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	221		
	Label	Q326. The Israeli-Palestinian conflict -- How much do you think each of the following factors contributes to terrorism in the Middle East today?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	92	4.5%
	1	Great deal	728	35.8%
	2	Fair amount	809	39.8%
	3	Not very much	321	15.8%
	4	Not at all	83	4.1%

**Q326\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	222		
	Label	Q326. Authoritarian regimes which violate human rights -- How much do you think each of the following factors contributes to terrorism in the Middle East today?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	81	4.0%
	1	Great deal	952	46.8%
	2	Fair amount	699	34.4%
	3	Not very much	220	10.8%
	4	Not at all	81	4.0%



**Q326\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	223		
	Label	Q326. Poverty and lack of economic opportunity -- How much do you think each of the following factors contributes to terrorism in the Middle East today?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	82	4.0%
	1	Great deal	723	35.5%
	2	Fair amount	759	37.3%
	3	Not very much	356	17.5%
	4	Not at all	114	5.6%

**Q238**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	224		
	Label	Q238. As you may know, the U.S. and other countries recently reached the outlines of an agreement with Iran that will ease some of the economic sanctions against Iran. In exchange, Iran will limit its nuclear enrichment capacity and research and developmen		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	88	4.3%
	1	Support	1207	59.3%
	2	Oppose	739	36.3%

**Q239**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	225		
	Label	Q239. If a nuclear agreement is reached, how confident are you that it would prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons in the next 10 years?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	42	2.1%
	1	Very confident	81	4.0%
	2	Somewhat confident	501	24.6%
	3	Not very confident	741	36.4%
	4	Not at all confident	669	32.9%

QN240A\_A

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	226		
	Label	QN240A. Accept that Iran will acquire nuclear weapons -- If Iran commits a major violation of this agreement, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the U. S. taking each of the following actions:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	53	2.6%
	1	Strongly support	69	3.4%
	2	Somewhat support	170	8.3%
	3	Somewhat oppose	323	15.9%
	4	Strongly oppose	440	21.7%
Missing Values	System		979	48.1%

QN240A\_B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	227		
	Label	QN240A. Continue diplomatic efforts to get Iran to stop enriching uranium -- If Iran commits a major violation of this agreement, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the U. S. taking each of the following actions		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	49	2.4%
	1	Strongly support	353	17.3%
	2	Somewhat support	394	19.4%
	3	Somewhat oppose	148	7.3%
Missing Values	4	Strongly oppose	112	5.5%
	System		979	48.1%

QN240A\_C

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	228		
	Label	QN240A. Impose tighter economic sanctions on Iran -- If Iran commits a major violation of this agreement, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the U. S. taking each of the following actions:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	48	2.3%
	1	Strongly support	514	25.3%
	2	Somewhat support	327	16.1%
	3	Somewhat oppose	122	6.0%
Missing Values	4	Strongly oppose	44	2.2%
	System		979	48.1%

QN240A\_D

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	229		
	Label	QN240A. Conduct cyberattacks against Iran's computer systems -- If Iran commits a major violation of this agreement, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the U. S. taking each of the following actions:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	52	2.5%
	1	Strongly support	298	14.6%
	2	Somewhat support	332	16.3%
	3	Somewhat oppose	254	12.5%
Missing Values	4	Strongly oppose	120	5.9%
	System		979	48.1%

QN240A\_E

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	230		
	Label	QN240A. Conduct airstrikes against Iran's nuclear facilities -- If Iran commits a major violation of this agreement, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the U. S. taking each of the following actions:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	50	2.4%
	1	Strongly support	294	14.4%
	2	Somewhat support	295	14.5%
	3	Somewhat oppose	261	12.8%
Missing Values	4	Strongly oppose	156	7.7%
	System		979	48.1%



## QN240A\_F

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	231		
	Label	QN240A. Send U.S. troops to destroy Iran's nuclear facilities -- If Iran commits a major violation of this agreement, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the U. S. taking each of the following actions:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	51	2.5%
	1	Strongly support	202	9.9%
	2	Somewhat support	261	12.8%
	3	Somewhat oppose	311	15.3%
Missing Values	4	Strongly oppose	230	11.3%
	System		979	48.1%

**Q245A\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	232		
	Label	Q245A. Improve the image of the U.S. in the world -- How confident are you that a nuclear deal with Iran will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	48	2.4%
	1	Very confident	74	3.7%
	2	Somewhat confident	295	14.5%
	3	Not very confident	378	18.6%
	4	Not at all confident	221	10.9%
Missing Values	System		1018	50.0%

**Q245A\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	233		
	Label	Q245A. Improve political freedoms in Iran -- How confident are you that a nuclear deal with Iran will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	46	2.3%
	1	Very confident	52	2.5%
	2	Somewhat confident	231	11.4%
	3	Not very confident	439	21.6%
	4	Not at all confident	248	12.2%
Missing Values	System		1018	50.0%

**Q245A\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	234		
	Label	Q245A. Help the Iranian economy -- How confident are you that a nuclear deal with Iran will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	48	2.4%
	1	Very confident	96	4.7%
	2	Somewhat confident	350	17.2%
	3	Not very confident	359	17.7%
	4	Not at all confident	162	8.0%
Missing Values	System		1018	50.0%

**Q245A\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	235		
	Label	Q245A. Weaken the Iranian government -- How confident are you that a nuclear deal with Iran will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	48	2.3%
	1	Very confident	39	1.9%
	2	Somewhat confident	191	9.4%
	3	Not very confident	477	23.5%
	4	Not at all confident	261	12.8%
Missing Values	System		1018	50.0%

**Q245A\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	236		
	Label	Q245A. Reduce Iranian support for terrorist groups -- How confident are you that a nuclear deal with Iran will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	46	2.3%
	1	Very confident	43	2.1%
	2	Somewhat confident	210	10.3%
	3	Not very confident	442	21.7%
	4	Not at all confident	275	13.5%
Missing Values	System		1018	50.0%

Q245A\_F

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	237		
	Label	Q245A. Improve Israel's security -- How confident are you that a nuclear deal with Iran will:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	44	2.1%
	1	Very confident	59	2.9%
	2	Somewhat confident	285	14.0%
	3	Not very confident	379	18.6%
	4	Not at all confident	249	12.3%
Missing Values	System		1018	50.0%

**Q230**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	238		
	Label	Q230. In the Middle East conflict, do you think the United States should take Israel's side, take the Palestinians' side, or not take either side?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Take Israel's side	0	.0%
	2	Take the Palestinians' side	0	.0%
	3	Not take either side	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q700\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	239		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q700. See standard text -- DOV_Split_q700		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1039	51.1%
	1	Yes	995	48.9%



**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q700\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	240		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q700. See additional text -- DOV_Split_q700		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	995	48.9%
	1	Yes	1039	51.1%

**QDOV\_INSERT\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	241		
	Label	QDOV_INSERT. on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip -- DATA ONLY : FOR Q700		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	995	48.9%
	1	Yes	1039	51.1%

**QDOV\_INSERT\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	242		
	Label	QDOV_INSERT. -- DATA ONLY : FOR Q700		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1039	51.1%
	1	Yes	995	48.9%

**Q700A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	243		
	Label	Q700A. Do you favor or oppose the establishment of an independent Palestinian state on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	1039		
	Missing	995		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.21		
	Standard Deviation	.917		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	1.00		
	Percentile 75	2.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	117	5.7%
	1	Favor	470	23.1%
	2	Oppose	453	22.3%

**Q700B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	244		
	Label	Q700B. Do you favor or oppose the establishment of an independent Palestinian state?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	995		
	Missing	1039		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.18		
	Standard Deviation	.805		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	1.00		
	Percentile 75	2.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	83	4.1%
	1	Favor	568	27.9%
	2	Oppose	345	16.9%

**Q700**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	245		
	Label	Q700. Do you favor or oppose the establishment of an independent Palestinian state{#QDOV_INSERT}?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	199	9.8%
	1	Favor	1037	51.0%
	2	Oppose	797	39.2%

**QN16**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	246		
	Label	QN16. Do you think that, as a country, we are more safe, about as safe, or less safe than we were before the terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	21	1.0%
	1	More safe	381	18.7%
	2	About as safe	933	45.9%
	3	Less safe	699	34.4%

**Q280**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	247		
	Label	Q280. How likely do you think it is that the United States will experience another major terrorist attack in the next five years?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Very likely	0	.0%
	2	Somewhat likely	0	.0%
	3	Not very likely	0	.0%
	4	Not likely at all	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q276\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	248		
	Label	Q276. U.S. air strikes against terrorist training camps and other facilities -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	80	3.9%
	1	Favor	1572	77.3%
	2	Oppose	382	18.8%

**Q276\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	249		
	Label	Q276. Attacks by U.S. ground troops against terrorist training camps and other facilities -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	83	4.1%
	1	Favor	1211	59.6%
	2	Oppose	740	36.4%

**Q276\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	250		
	Label	Q276. Assassination of individual terrorist leaders -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	42	2.1%
	1	Favor	721	35.4%
	2	Oppose	221	10.9%
Missing Values	System		1050	51.6%



**Q276\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	251		
	Label	Q276. Using drone strikes to assassinate individual terrorist leaders -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	47	2.3%
	1	Favor	803	39.5%
	2	Oppose	200	9.9%
Missing Values	System		984	48.4%

**Q276\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	252		
	Label	Q276. The National Security Agency collecting telephone and internet data to identify links to potential terrorists -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	82	4.0%
	1	Favor	1380	67.8%
	2	Oppose	573	28.2%

**Q276\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	253		
	Label	Q276. Using social media to counter violent Islamic extremist groups' recruitment methods -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	90	4.4%
	1	Favor	1485	73.0%
	2	Oppose	459	22.6%

**Q276\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	254		
	Label	Q276. Providing military assistance to Arab governments to combat violent Islamic extremist groups -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	101	5.0%
	1	Favor	1188	58.4%
	2	Oppose	744	36.6%

**Q276\_H**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	255		
	Label	Q276. Keeping some U.S. troops in Afghanistan beyond 2016 for training and counterterroris m -- In order to combat international terrorism, please say whether you favor or oppose each of the following measures.		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	89	4.4%
	1	Favor	1102	54.2%
	2	Oppose	843	41.4%

**Q278\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	256		
	Label	Q278. U.S. air strikes against terrorist training camps and other facilities -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	84	4.2%
	1	Very effective	639	31.4%
	2	Somewhat effective	898	44.2%
	3	Not very effective	287	14.1%
	4	Not effective at all	125	6.1%

**Q278\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	257		
	Label	Q278. Attacks by U.S. ground troops against terrorist training camps and other facilities -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	90	4.4%
	1	Very effective	507	24.9%
	2	Somewhat effective	844	41.5%
	3	Not very effective	433	21.3%
	4	Not effective at all	160	7.9%

**Q278\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	258		
	Label	Q278. Assassination of individual terrorist leaders -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	35	1.7%
	1	Very effective	309	15.2%
	2	Somewhat effective	404	19.9%
	3	Not very effective	152	7.5%
	4	Not effective at all	85	4.2%
Missing Values	System		1050	51.6%



**Q278\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	259		
	Label	Q278. Using drone strikes to assassinate individual terrorist leaders -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	54	2.6%
	1	Very effective	334	16.4%
	2	Somewhat effective	442	21.7%
	3	Not very effective	163	8.0%
	4	Not effective at all	57	2.8%
Missing Values	System		984	48.4%

**Q278\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	260		
	Label	Q278. The National Security Agency collecting telephone and internet data to identify links to potential terrorists -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	87	4.3%
	1	Very effective	431	21.2%
	2	Somewhat effective	893	43.9%
	3	Not very effective	428	21.0%
	4	Not effective at all	195	9.6%

**Q278\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	261		
	Label	Q278. Using social media to counter violent Islamic extremist groups' recruitment methods -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	87	4.3%
	1	Very effective	318	15.7%
	2	Somewhat effective	908	44.7%
	3	Not very effective	510	25.1%
	4	Not effective at all	210	10.3%

**Q278\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	262		
	Label	Q278. Providing military assistance to Arab governments to combat violent Islamic extremist groups -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	90	4.4%
	1	Very effective	270	13.3%
	2	Somewhat effective	975	48.0%
	3	Not very effective	501	24.6%
	4	Not effective at all	197	9.7%

**Q278\_H**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	263		
	Label	Q278. Keeping some U.S. troops in Afghanistan beyond 2016 for training and counterterroris m -- How effective do you think the following measures are in fighting terrorism in the long term?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	94	4.6%
	1	Very effective	301	14.8%
	2	Somewhat effective	897	44.1%
	3	Not very effective	488	24.0%
	4	Not effective at all	253	12.5%

**Q285**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	264		
	Label	Q285. How long do you think the U.S. will be involved in military action to defeat violent Islamic extremist groups in Iraq and Syria?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	38	1.9%
	1	Less than a year	45	2.2%
	2	1-3 years	202	9.9%
	3	Longer than 3 years	753	37.0%
Missing Values	System		995	48.9%

**Q269**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	265		
	Label	Q269. Which outcome in Syria do you see as the biggest threat to U.S. interests?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	82	4.0%
	1	A victory by violent Islamic extremist groups	575	28.3%
	2	A victory by the regime of Bashar al-Assad	67	3.3%
	3	A continuing civil war in Syria	272	13.4%
Missing Values	System		1039	51.1%

**Q310**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	266		
	Label	Q310. To deal with the problem of climate change, do you think your government is doing:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Too much	0	.0%
	2	Not enough	0	.0%
	3	About the right amount	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%

**Q300**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	267		
	Label	Q300. Which statement comes closest to your view about climate change?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	0	.0%
	1	Global warming is caused mostly by human activity such as burning fossil fuels	0	.0%
	2	Global warming is caused mostly by natural patterns in the earth's environment	0	.0%
	3	Global warming does not exist	0	.0%
Missing Values	System		2034	100.0%



**Q295**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	268		
	Label	Q295. There is a controversy over what the countries of the world, including the U.S., should do about the problem of climate change. Here are three statements. Please tell me which statement comes closest to your own point of view:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		

**Q295**

		Value	Count	Percent
Valid Values	-1	Refused	21	1.0%
	1	Until we are sure that climate change is really a problem we should not take any steps that would have economic costs.	258	12.7%
	2	The problem of climate change should be addressed but its effects will be gradual so we can deal with the problem gradually	382	18.8%
	3	Climate change is a serious and pressing problem and we should try taking steps now even if this involves significant co	392	19.3%
Missing Values	System		981	48.2%

**Q356**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	269		
	Label	Q356. How do you feel about the U.S. government's plans to pivot U.S. diplomatic and military resources away from the Middle East and more toward Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	178	8.8%
	1	I strongly support it	152	7.5%
	2	I somewhat support it	846	41.6%
	3	I somewhat oppose it	651	32.0%
	4	I strongly oppose it	206	10.1%

QN56\_A

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	270		
	Label	QN56. Japan -- In the next ten years, please tell me whether you think that the following countries' influence in Asia will increase, remain about the same, or decrease?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	120	5.9%
	1	Increase	551	27.1%
	2	Remain the same	1180	58.0%
	3	Decrease	183	9.0%

QN56\_B

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	271		
	Label	QN56. The United States -- In the next ten years, please tell me whether you think that the following countries' influence in Asia will increase, remain about the same, or decrease?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	114	5.6%
	1	Increase	635	31.2%
	2	Remain the same	1056	51.9%
	3	Decrease	229	11.3%

QN56\_C

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	272		
	Label	QN56. South Korea -- In the next ten years, please tell me whether you think that the following countries' influence in Asia will increase, remain about the same, or decrease?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	120	5.9%
	1	Increase	434	21.3%
	2	Remain the same	1271	62.5%
	3	Decrease	210	10.3%

QN56\_D

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	273		
	Label	QN56. China -- In the next ten years, please tell me whether you think that the following countries' influence in Asia will increase, remain about the same, or decrease?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	119	5.9%
	1	Increase	1054	51.8%
	2	Remain the same	753	37.0%
	3	Decrease	109	5.3%

QN56\_E

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	274		
	Label	QN56. India -- In the next ten years, please tell me whether you think that the following countries' influence in Asia will increase, remain about the same, or decrease?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	124	6.1%
	1	Increase	544	26.8%
	2	Remain the same	1197	58.9%
	3	Decrease	169	8.3%



**Q325B\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	275		
	Label	Q325B. Japan -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	94	4.6%
	1	Very positive	86	4.2%
	2	Somewhat positive	570	28.0%
	3	Somewhat negative	208	10.2%
	4	Very negative	34	1.7%
Missing Values	System		1042	51.2%

**Q325B\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	276		
	Label	Q325B. The United States -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	80	3.9%
	1	Very positive	152	7.5%
	2	Somewhat positive	587	28.9%
	3	Somewhat negative	133	6.5%
	4	Very negative	40	2.0%
Missing Values	System		1042	51.2%

**Q325B\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	277		
	Label	Q325B. South Korea -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	95	4.7%
	1	Very positive	64	3.2%
	2	Somewhat positive	516	25.4%
	3	Somewhat negative	251	12.3%
	4	Very negative	66	3.3%
Missing Values	System		1042	51.2%

**Q325B\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	278		
	Label	Q325B. China -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	92	4.5%
	1	Very positive	45	2.2%
	2	Somewhat positive	302	14.8%
	3	Somewhat negative	435	21.4%
	4	Very negative	119	5.9%
Missing Values	System		1042	51.2%

**Q325B\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	279		
	Label	Q325B. India -- In your opinion, are the following countries playing a very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative or very negative role in resolving the key problems facing Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	98	4.8%
	1	Very positive	32	1.6%
	2	Somewhat positive	519	25.5%
	3	Somewhat negative	298	14.7%
	4	Very negative	46	2.3%
Missing Values	System		1042	51.2%

**Q324\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	280		
	Label	Q324. Competition over vital energy resources like oil and gas -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	150	7.4%
	1	Very likely	585	28.7%
	2	Somewhat likely	942	46.3%
	3	Not very likely	292	14.4%
	4	Not likely at all	65	3.2%

**Q324\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	281		
	Label	Q324. Economic competition between Asian countries -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	151	7.4%
	1	Very likely	416	20.5%
	2	Somewhat likely	1039	51.1%
	3	Not very likely	357	17.6%
	4	Not likely at all	71	3.5%

**Q324\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	282		
	Label	Q324. The spread of nuclear weapons to new countries in Asia -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	148	7.3%
	1	Very likely	516	25.4%
	2	Somewhat likely	930	45.7%
	3	Not very likely	369	18.1%
	4	Not likely at all	71	3.5%



**Q324\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	283		
	Label	Q324. The growth of Chinese military power -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	144	7.1%
	1	Very likely	719	35.3%
	2	Somewhat likely	892	43.9%
	3	Not very likely	218	10.7%
	4	Not likely at all	60	2.9%

**Q324\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	284		
	Label	Q324. Tensions between North Korea and South Korea -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	143	7.0%
	1	Very likely	688	33.8%
	2	Somewhat likely	892	43.9%
	3	Not very likely	239	11.7%
	4	Not likely at all	73	3.6%

**Q324\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	285		
	Label	Q324. Relations between India and Pakistan -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	156	7.7%
	1	Very likely	323	15.9%
	2	Somewhat likely	992	48.8%
	3	Not very likely	465	22.9%
	4	Not likely at all	98	4.8%

**Q324\_G**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	286		
	Label	Q324. Relations between mainland China and Taiwan -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	154	7.6%
	1	Very likely	326	16.0%
	2	Somewhat likely	1021	50.2%
	3	Not very likely	464	22.8%
	4	Not likely at all	69	3.4%

**Q324\_H**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	287		
	Label	Q324. The U. S. military presence in Asia and the Pacific -- Thinking about the future, how likely do you think it is that each of the following will be a potential source of conflict between major powers in Asia?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	151	7.4%
	1	Very likely	309	15.2%
	2	Somewhat likely	972	47.8%
	3	Not very likely	504	24.8%
	4	Not likely at all	99	4.9%

**Q450\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	288		
	Label	Q450. Japan -- How important are relationships with the countries listed below to the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	71	3.5%
	1	Very important	1054	51.8%
	2	Somewhat important	741	36.4%
	3	Not very important	108	5.3%
	4	Not at all important	60	3.0%

**Q450\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	289		
	Label	Q450. China -- How important are relationships with the countries listed below to the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	73	3.6%
	1	Very important	1111	54.6%
	2	Somewhat important	669	32.9%
	3	Not very important	108	5.3%
	4	Not at all important	73	3.6%

**Q450\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	290		
	Label	Q450. South Korea -- How important are relationships with the countries listed below to the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	76	3.7%
	1	Very important	844	41.5%
	2	Somewhat important	847	41.6%
	3	Not very important	195	9.6%
	4	Not at all important	72	3.6%

**Q450\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	291		
	Label	Q450. India -- How important are relationships with the countries listed below to the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	78	3.8%
	1	Very important	710	34.9%
	2	Somewhat important	958	47.1%
	3	Not very important	220	10.8%
	4	Not at all important	68	3.4%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q330\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	292		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q330. See Japan -- DOV_Split_q330		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1365	67.1%
	1	Yes	669	32.9%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q330\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	293		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q330. See South Korea -- DOV_Split_q330		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1353	66.5%
	1	Yes	681	33.5%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q330\_03**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	294		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q330. See China -- DOV_Split_q330		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1349	66.3%
	1	Yes	685	33.7%



**QDOV\_INSERT\_Q330\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	295		
	Label	QDOV_INSERT_Q330. Japan -- DATA ONLY : Q330		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1365	67.1%
	1	Yes	669	32.9%

**QDOV\_INSERT\_Q330\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	296		
	Label	QDOV_INSERT_Q330. South Korea -- DATA ONLY : Q330		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1353	66.5%
	1	Yes	681	33.5%

**QDOV\_INSERT\_Q330\_03**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	297		
	Label	QDOV_INSERT_Q330. China -- DATA ONLY : Q330		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1349	66.3%
	1	Yes	685	33.7%

**Q330A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	298		
	Label	Q330A. Do you think that Japan is a very reliable, somewhat reliable, not very reliable or not at all reliable partner to the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	669		
	Missing	1365		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.80		
	Standard Deviation	.988		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	2.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	39	1.9%
	1	Very reliable	146	7.2%
	2	Somewhat reliable	378	18.6%
	3	Not very reliable	81	4.0%
	4	Not at all reliable	24	1.2%

**Q330B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	299		
	Label	Q330B. Do you think that South Korea is a very reliable, somewhat reliable, not very reliable or not at all reliable partner to the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Valid	681		
	Missing	1353		
	Mean	2.11		
	Standard Deviation	.979		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
Labeled Values	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
	-1	Refused/DK	22	1.1%
	1	Very reliable	118	5.8%
	2	Somewhat reliable	329	16.2%
	3	Not very reliable	168	8.2%
	4	Not at all reliable	45	2.2%

**Q330C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	300		
	Label	Q330C. Do you think that China is a very reliable, somewhat reliable, not very reliable or not at all reliable partner to the United States?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
N	Valid	685		
	Missing	1349		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.57		
	Standard Deviation	.932		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	3.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	12	.6%
	1	Very reliable	37	1.8%
	2	Somewhat reliable	278	13.7%
	3	Not very reliable	254	12.5%
	4	Not at all reliable	104	5.1%

**Q330**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	301		
	Label	Q330. Do you think that {#QDOV_INSERT_Q330} is a very reliable, somewhat reliable, not very reliable or not at all reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	73	3.6%
	1	Very reliable	301	14.8%
	2	Somewhat reliable	985	48.4%
	3	Not very reliable	502	24.7%
	4	Not at all reliable	173	8.5%

**Q331A\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	302		
	Label	Q331A. Because of security alliance/allies -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_INSERT_Q330} is a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	34	1.7%
	1	Explains a lot	323	15.9%
	2	Explains some	480	23.6%
	3	Explains a little	108	5.3%
	4	Does not explain	26	1.3%
Missing Values	System		1063	52.3%

**Q331A\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	303		
	Label	Q331A. Economic and trade ties -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_INSERT_Q330} is a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	37	1.8%
	1	Explains a lot	507	24.9%
	2	Explains some	605	29.7%
	3	Explains a little	117	5.8%
	4	Does not explain	19	1.0%
Missing Values	System		748	36.8%

**Q331A\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	304		
	Label	Q331A. Historical events and experiences -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_ INSERT_ Q330} is a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	45	2.2%
	1	Explains a lot	280	13.8%
	2	Explains some	613	30.1%
	3	Explains a little	273	13.4%
	4	Does not explain	75	3.7%
Missing Values	System		748	36.8%



**Q331A\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	305		
	Label	Q331A. Its culture and people -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_INSERT_Q330} is a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	47	2.3%
	1	Explains a lot	227	11.2%
	2	Explains some	613	30.1%
	3	Explains a little	294	14.5%
	4	Does not explain	105	5.1%
Missing Values	System		748	36.8%

**Q331A\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	306		
	Label	Q331A. Similarities in political systems -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_ INSERT_ Q330} is a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	56	2.7%
	1	Explains a lot	149	7.3%
	2	Explains some	594	29.2%
	3	Explains a little	350	17.2%
	4	Does not explain	138	6.8%
Missing Values	System		748	36.8%

**Q331B\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	307		
	Label	Q331B. Historical events and experiences -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_ INSERT_ Q330} is not a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	20	1.0%
	1	Explains a lot	198	9.7%
	2	Explains some	249	12.2%
	3	Explains a little	147	7.2%
	4	Does not explain	62	3.0%
Missing Values	System		1359	66.8%

**Q331B\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	308		
	Label	Q331B. Only concerned about their own issues/ self-interested -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_ INSERT_ Q330} is not a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	16	.8%
	1	Explains a lot	266	13.1%
	2	Explains some	227	11.2%
	3	Explains a little	105	5.2%
	4	Does not explain	60	2.9%
Missing Values	System		1359	66.8%

**Q331B\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	309		
	Label	Q331B. Trade friction/economic competition -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_INSERT_Q330} is not a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	24	1.2%
	1	Explains a lot	189	9.3%
	2	Explains some	285	14.0%
	3	Explains a little	109	5.4%
	4	Does not explain	67	3.3%
Missing Values	System		1359	66.8%

**Q331B\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	310		
	Label	Q331B. Its culture and people -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_INSERT_Q330} is not a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	20	1.0%
	1	Explains a lot	141	6.9%
	2	Explains some	233	11.5%
	3	Explains a little	175	8.6%
	4	Does not explain	106	5.2%
Missing Values	System		1359	66.8%

**Q331B\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	311		
	Label	Q331B. Territorial disputes -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_ INSERT_ Q330} is not a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	22	1.1%
	1	Explains a lot	162	8.0%
	2	Explains some	264	13.0%
	3	Explains a little	152	7.5%
	4	Does not explain	74	3.7%
Missing Values	System		1359	66.8%

**Q331B\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	312		
	Label	Q331B. Differences in political systems -- How much does each of the following help to explain why you think {#QDOV_ INSERT_ Q330} is not a reliable partner to the United States ?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	22	1.1%
	1	Explains a lot	266	13.1%
	2	Explains some	230	11.3%
	3	Explains a little	104	5.1%
	4	Does not explain	53	2.6%
Missing Values	System		1359	66.8%



**Q332**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	313		
	Label	Q332. Which one of the following ways do you think would be the most helpful way to improve relations between {#QDOV_INSERT_ Q330} and the U.S.?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	124	6.1%
	1	Strengthen economic relations	572	28.1%
	2	Encourage cooperation on global issues such as climate change and control of infectious diseases	350	17.2%
	3	Strengthen political and security relations	708	34.8%
	4	Promote cultural and people to people exchanges	211	10.4%
	5	Other (specify)	69	3.4%

Q332\_05\_OS

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	314		
	Label	Q332. Other specify (verbiage)		
	Type	String		
	Format	A3072		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values			1975	97.1%
	agree on territorial disputes		1	.0%
	ALL		1	.1%
	all of the abobe would help		1	.1%
	ALL THE ABOVE		1	.1%
	Become a real Biblicall Christian Way his Ways are higher than man since as his prayer states Our Father ...on earth as it is in heaven, this the times of the Gentile last reign of Noah's generational seed, Abram to A????		1	.0%
	bring jobs back to the u. s.		1	.0%
	Cautious approach		3	.1%
	China is communist.		0	.0%
	closing the factories in China		1	.1%
	Disaster relief		1	.0%
	DON'T CARE FOR THIS SURVEY		1	.1%
	dont give a fuck!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! 1		3	.1%
	dont know		3	.1%
	eliminate our debt and begin negotiating from a position of strength rather than this pathetic weakness		1	.1%
	Eliminate their trade barriers		1	.0%
	freedom for people		1	.0%
	hardworking		1	.1%

## Q332\_05\_OS

	Value	Count	Percent
Valid Values			
I don't care		1	.0%
I don't really know		1	.0%
I don't think anything the US does will improve relations		1	.0%
i don't think it's feasible		1	.0%
I literally have no idea		1	.0%
Improvement of product quality in manufacturing		1	.0%
Increase military presence to provide an effective deterrent, both with our own forces and with other regional powers.		1	.0%
invade		1	.0%
keep our nose out !		1	.0%
keep them in the loop on what we have going on in the world		1	.1%
leave them to their own country and us to owers		2	.1%
no idea		3	.1%
none		2	.1%
None		2	.1%
nothing		2	.1%
nothing will help		1	.1%
play hardball		1	.1%
Punish as anti competitive trade		1	.0%
reduce trade deficits		1	.0%
Remain vigilant and strong		1	.1%
removal of dictatorial leader		1	.0%
renegade trade costs		1	.1%
Respect China		1	.1%
Stop being greedy. The traditional wars ended a long time ago. stop over populating and you wouldn't need the japanese islands.		0	.0%
Stop borrowing from them!!		1	.0%

**Q332\_05\_OS**

		Value	Count	Percent
Valid Values	Stop contact with China		1	.0%
	stop importing their crap		1	.0%
	Stop stealing our technology and calling it their own		1	.0%
	stop the trade with china		1	.1%
	Tariff		1	.1%
	Terminate the assholes running the Farm...		1	.1%
	there is none		1	.0%
	truthful disclosures		1	.1%
	walk softly and carry a big stick		1	.0%
	wipe them out		1	.1%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q333\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	315		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q333. See South Korea -- DOV_Split_q333		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1003	49.3%
	1	Yes	1031	50.7%

**QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q333\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	316		
	Label	QDOV_SPLIT_Q333. See China -- DOV_Split_q333		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1031	50.7%
	1	Yes	1003	49.3%

**QDOV\_INSERT\_Q333\_01**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	317		
	Label	QDOV_INSERT_Q333. South Korea -- DATA ONLY : Q333		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1003	49.3%
	1	Yes	1031	50.7%

**QDOV\_INSERT\_Q333\_02**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	318		
	Label	QDOV_INSERT_Q333. China -- DATA ONLY : Q333		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F1		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	0	No	1031	50.7%
	1	Yes	1003	49.3%

**Q333A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	319		
	Label	Q333A. How involved do you think the U.S. government should be in resolving disputes between South Korea and Japan?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1031		
	Missing	1003		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.38		
	Standard Deviation	1.102		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	46	2.3%
	1	Very involved	93	4.6%
	2	Somewhat involved	401	19.7%
	3	Not very involved	359	17.6%
	4	Not involved at all	133	6.5%

**Q333B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	320		
	Label	Q333B. How involved do you think the U.S. government should be in resolving disputes between China and Japan?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	1003		
	Missing	1031		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	2.42		
	Standard Deviation	1.046		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused/DK	33	1.6%
	1	Very involved	97	4.8%
	2	Somewhat involved	391	19.2%
	3	Not very involved	350	17.2%
	4	Not involved at all	132	6.5%

**Q333**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	321		
	Label	Q333. How involved do you think the U.S. government should be in resolving disputes between {#QDOV_INSERT_Q333} and Japan?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	79	3.9%
	1	Very involved	190	9.3%
	2	Somewhat involved	792	38.9%
	3	Not very involved	708	34.8%
	4	Not involved at all	264	13.0%



**Q27**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	322		
	Label	Q27. Do you support or oppose Japan becoming a permanent member of UN Security Council?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	75	3.7%
	1	Strongly support	182	9.0%
	2	Somewhat support	530	26.1%
	3	Somewhat oppose	136	6.7%
	4	Strongly oppose	56	2.8%
Missing Values	System		1053	51.8%

**Q364**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	323		
	Label	Q364. If North and South Korea were to reunify as a single nation, should the United States:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	130	6.4%
	1	Maintain its alliance with Korea and keep its ground troops there	656	32.2%
	2	Maintain its alliance with Korea but remove its ground troops	889	43.7%
	3	End its alliance with Korea and remove its ground troops	359	17.7%

**Q374\_A**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	324		
	Label	Q374. Accept that North Korea will produce additional nuclear weapons -- Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	52	2.5%
	1	Support	156	7.6%
	2	Oppose	771	37.9%
Missing Values	System		1055	51.9%

**Q374\_B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	325		
	Label	Q374. Continue diplomatic efforts to get North Korea to suspend its nuclear program -- Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	46	2.2%
	1	Support	739	36.3%
	2	Oppose	194	9.5%
Missing Values	System		1055	51.9%

**Q374\_C**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	326		
	Label	Q374. Impose tighter economic sanctions on North Korea -- Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	54	2.7%
	1	Support	683	33.6%
	2	Oppose	242	11.9%
Missing Values	System		1055	51.9%

**Q374\_D**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	327		
	Label	Q374. Conduct cyberattacks against North Korean computer systems -- Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	58	2.9%
	1	Support	484	23.8%
	2	Oppose	436	21.4%
Missing Values	System		1055	51.9%

**Q374\_E**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	328		
	Label	Q374. Conduct airstrikes against North Korea's nuclear production facilities -- Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
Valid Values	Role	Input		
	-1	Refused	59	2.9%
	1	Support	349	17.2%
	2	Oppose	571	28.1%
Missing Values	System		1055	51.9%

**Q374\_F**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	329		
	Label	Q374. Send U.S. troops to destroy North Korea's nuclear facilities -- Please tell me whether you would support or oppose each of the following kinds of U.S. action to pressure North Korea to stop building its nuclear weapons program:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	59	2.9%
	1	Support	249	12.2%
	2	Oppose	671	33.0%
Missing Values	System		1055	51.9%



**Q1005**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	330		
	Label	Q1005. In general, do you think of yourself as:		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	61	3.0%
	1	Extremely liberal	67	3.3%
	2	Liberal	261	12.8%
	3	Slightly liberal	199	9.8%
	4	Moderate, middle of the road	743	36.5%
	5	Slightly conservative	224	11.0%
	6	Conservative	383	18.8%
	7	Extremely conservative	96	4.7%

**Q1010**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	331		
	Label	Q1010. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an independent, or what?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	52	2.5%
	1	Republican	549	27.0%
	2	Democrat	741	36.4%
	3	Independent	523	25.7%
	4	Other	169	8.3%

**Q1015**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	332		
	Label	Q1015. Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	4	.2%
	1	Strong	252	12.4%
	2	Not strong	293	14.4%
Missing Values	System		1485	73.0%

**Q1020**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	333		
	Label	Q1020. Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	3	.1%
	1	Strong	377	18.5%
	2	Not strong	361	17.7%
Missing Values	System		1293	63.6%

**Q1025**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	334		
	Label	Q1025. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	3	.2%
	1	Republican	139	6.8%
	2	Democratic	151	7.4%
	3	Neither	230	11.3%
Missing Values	System		1511	74.3%

**Q1040**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	335		
	Label	Q1040. What is your religious preference? Is it Christian, Jewish, Muslim, Hindu, some other religion, or no religion?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	31	1.5%
	1	Christian	1379	67.8%
	2	Jewish	42	2.1%
	3	Muslim	9	.4%
	4	Hindu	12	.6%
	5	Other	160	7.9%
	6	No religion	400	19.7%

**Q1050**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	336		
	Label	Q1050. Are you registered to vote?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	16	.8%
	1	Yes	1724	84.7%
	2	No	294	14.5%

**Q1055**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	337		
	Label	Q1055. How likely are you to vote in the 2016 presidential election?		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F2		
	Measurement	Nominal		
	Role	Input		
Valid Values	-1	Refused	14	.7%
	1	Very likely	1468	72.2%
	2	Somewhat likely	257	12.6%
	3	Not very likely	114	5.6%
	4	Not at all likely	181	8.9%

**Q1010B**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	338		
	Label	Party ID 3		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	Valid	2034		
N	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	1.99		
	Standard Deviation	.916		
	Percentile 25	1.00		
	Percentile 50	2.00		
	Percentile 75	3.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused	52	2.5%
	1	Republican	549	27.0%
	2	Democrat	741	36.4%
	3	Independent	692	34.0%

PID7

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Position	339		
	Label	Party ID 7		
	Type	Numeric		
	Format	F8		
	Measurement	Scale		
	Role	Input		
	N			
	Valid	2034		
	Missing	0		
Central Tendency and Dispersion	Mean	4.10		
	Standard Deviation	2.228		
	Percentile 25	2.00		
	Percentile 50	4.00		
	Percentile 75	6.00		
Labeled Values	-1	Refused	62	3.0%
	1	Strong Republican	252	12.4%
	2	Not strong Republican	293	14.4%
	3	Lean Republican	139	6.8%
	4	Independent	399	19.6%
	5	Lean Democrat	151	7.4%
	6	Not strong Democrat	361	17.7%
	7	Strong Democrat	377	18.5%

**\$Dov\_Q16\_split**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	Dov_Q16_split: RANDOMLY ASSIGNING TO ANY ONE OF THE CATEGORY		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	Dov_Q16_split_01	Dov_Q16_split. See Q16_new -- Dov_Q16_split: RANDOMLY ASSIGNING TO ANY ONE OF THE CATEGORY	1025	50.4%
	Dov_Q16_split_02	Dov_Q16_split. See Q15_new -- Dov_Q16_split: RANDOMLY ASSIGNING TO ANY ONE OF THE CATEGORY	1009	49.6%

**\$QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q405**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DOV_Split Q405		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_SPLIT_Q405_01	QDOV_SPLIT_Q405. Illegal -- DOV_Split Q405	985	48.4%
	QDOV_SPLIT_Q405_02	QDOV_SPLIT_Q405. Undocumente d -- DOV_Split Q405	1049	51.6%

**\$POV\_SPLIT\_Q405**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	POV_Split_Q405		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	POV_SPLIT_Q405_01	POV_SPLIT_Q405. illegal -- POV_Split_Q405	985	48.4%
	POV_SPLIT_Q405_02	POV_SPLIT_Q405. undocumented -- POV_Split_Q405	1049	51.6%

**\$QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q245**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DOV_Split_Q245		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_SPLIT_Q245_01	QDOV_SPLIT_Q245. See Q245a -- DOV_Split_Q245	1016	50.0%
	QDOV_SPLIT_Q245_02	QDOV_SPLIT_Q245. See Q245b -- DOV_Split_Q245	1018	50.0%

**\$QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q700**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DOV_Split_q700		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_SPLIT_Q700_01	QDOV_SPLIT_Q700. See standard text -- DOV_Split_q700	995	48.9%
	QDOV_SPLIT_Q700_02	QDOV_SPLIT_Q700. See additional text -- DOV_Split_q700	1039	51.1%



**\$QDOV\_INSERT**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DATA ONLY : FOR Q700		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_INSERT_01	QDOV_ INSERT. on the West Bank and the Gaza Strip -- DATA ONLY : FOR Q700	1039	51.1%
	QDOV_INSERT_02	QDOV_ INSERT. -- DATA ONLY : FOR Q700	995	48.9%

**\$QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q330**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DOV_Split_ q330		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_SPLIT_Q330_01	QDOV_ SPLIT_Q330. See Japan -- DOV_Split_ q330	669	32.9%
	QDOV_SPLIT_Q330_02	QDOV_ SPLIT_Q330. See South Korea -- DOV_Split_ q330	681	33.5%
	QDOV_SPLIT_Q330_03	QDOV_ SPLIT_Q330. See China -- DOV_Split_ q330	685	33.7%

**\$QDOV\_INSERT\_Q330**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DATA ONLY : Q330		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_INSERT_Q330_01	QDOV_INSERT_Q330. Japan -- DATA ONLY : Q330	669	32.9%
	QDOV_INSERT_Q330_02	QDOV_INSERT_Q330. South Korea -- DATA ONLY : Q330	681	33.5%
	QDOV_INSERT_Q330_03	QDOV_INSERT_Q330. China -- DATA ONLY : Q330	685	33.7%

**\$QDOV\_SPLIT\_Q333**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DOV_Split_q333		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_SPLIT_Q333_01	QDOV_SPLIT_Q333. See South Korea -- DOV_Split_q333	1031	50.7%
	QDOV_SPLIT_Q333_02	QDOV_SPLIT_Q333. See China -- DOV_Split_q333	1003	49.3%

**\$QDOV\_INSERT\_Q333**

		Value	Count	Percent
Standard Attributes	Label	DATA ONLY : Q333		
	Type	Multiple Dichotomy Set		
Multiple Response Categories	QDOV_INSERT_Q333_0 1	QDOV_INSERT_Q333. South Korea -- DATA ONLY : Q333	1031	50.7%
	QDOV_INSERT_Q333_0 2	QDOV_INSERT_Q333. China -- DATA ONLY : Q333	1003	49.3%